

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **EDITORS**

#### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



#### **Dr. Namita Jain**

*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*



*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

*Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.*



## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS  
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **GLOBAL INVESTMENT LAW: A HIGHLY CONTESTED SYSTEM**

AUTHORED BY - POOVARASAN R

## **ABSTRACT:**

The field of global investment law, which regulates the interactions between international investors and host governments, is intricate and multidimensional. The extensive network of bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and other international investment agreements (IIAs) that are designed to encourage and safeguard foreign investments is what defines this system. However, because of its disarray, lack of consistency, and the stark disparity in power between rich and developing nations, the system is hotly debated.

Arbitral tribunals frequently interpret the fundamental tenets of international investment law—fair and equitable treatment (FET), full protection and security, and national treatment—in different ways. As a result, investors and states alike have seen fluctuating results and a sense of instability. Furthermore, the investor-state dispute resolution (ISDS) process, which enables investors to file claims against host governments directly, has drawn criticism for its possible bias against investors as well as its lack of accountability and transparency.

Global investment law is essential for promoting foreign direct investment (FDI) and advancing economic growth in spite of these obstacles. In order to handle new problems and strike a balance between the interests of host governments and investors, the system has changed over time, adopting new norms and procedures. Ongoing discussions and reform demands, however, emphasise the need for a fairer and more cogent framework that can more effectively support the objectives of global justice and sustainable development.

## **INTRODUCTION**

One important and increasingly controversial area of international law is global investment law. It includes the legal guidelines and norms that control foreign investments, which are mostly derived from a network of multilateral agreements, bilateral investment treaties (BITs), and rulings from international arbitration. By guaranteeing equitable treatment, non-

discrimination, and compensation for expropriations, these legislative tools aim to safeguard foreign investors and promote an atmosphere that is favourable to FDI.

But there are many complexities and disagreements inside the system. One of the main features of international investment law is the investor-state dispute resolution (ISDS) mechanism, which enables investors to bring direct lawsuits against host governments in international courts. Despite its goal of provide an impartial platform for conflict resolution, ISDS has drawn a lot of criticism. Its lack of transparency, possible investor-bias, and effect on governments' regulatory autonomy are among the issues. These conflicts have been brought to light by instances in which investors have contested environmental laws or public health initiatives, posing concerns about how to strike a balance between preserving assets and promoting the general welfare.

This study intends to explore the complex field of international investment law, looking at its tenets, workings, and related disputes. This study aims to comprehend the causes of the contestations and suggest avenues for a more equitable and balanced international investment regime by examining the legal and policy frameworks and case studies of significant disputes.

### **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

What are the primary reasons to make global investment law as a highly contested system?

How does global investment law interact with other areas of international law?

In what ways does the Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) mechanism influence the balance of power between foreign investors and host states?

### **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

To analyse the reason to make global investment law as a highly contested system.

To assess the impact of global investment law on developing countries.

To explore the transparency and accountability issues within global investment law

To evaluate the role of Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) mechanisms.

### **PILLARS OF GLOBAL INVESTMENT LAW**

Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs): Agreements that specify the terms and conditions for investments between two nations.

Incorporate clauses pertaining to non-discrimination, security and protection, and expropriation compensation.

Investment arbitration: A process that uses impartial, legally binding arbitration to settle disagreements between states and investors.

Features include the enforcement of arbitral rulings under international treaties and Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS).

Multilateral Investment Agreements: Arrangements that create a common framework for the protection of investments among several nations.

The ICSID Convention for resolving investment disputes and the Energy Charter Treaty (ECT) are two examples.

## **KEY FEATURES OF INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW**

They protect foreign investment in the host country.

They are concluded between states.

They provide substantive rights to foreign investors in the host state, such as Most Favoured Nation Treatment, National Treatment, and the right to effective compensation on expropriation.

Most treaties include state-to-state dispute resolution mechanisms.

They typically provide dispute resolution mechanisms between an investor and the host state under the treaty.

In examining treaty violations, arbitral tribunals review state actions in various areas, including water services, cultural heritage, environmental protection, human rights, and public health.

## **ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS IN GLOBAL INVESTMENT LAW**

International Organisations' Contribution to the Reform of International Investment Law  
World Trade Organisation (WTO):

Dispute Settlement: Countries can resolve disagreements over investments by using the WTO's dispute settlement procedure.

Investment Facilitation Agreement: To enhance the international investment climate, the WTO is presently draughting an Investment Facilitation Agreement (IFA).

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD):

Policy Analysis: UNCTAD studies and analyses investment practices, regulations, and trends.



Investment Guidelines: To help governments and investors, UNCTAD has created guidelines on protecting and promoting investments.

International Monetary Fund (IMF):

Financial Stability: The IMF helps to advance economic growth and financial stability, both of which have an indirect effect on investment flows.

Advice on Investment Policy: The IMF is able to advise nations on legislation and policies pertaining to investments.

World Bank:

Investment initiatives: Through loans, grants, and technical assistance, the World Bank promotes investment initiatives in poor nations.

Investment Policy Reviews: To evaluate a nation's investment climate and pinpoint areas in need of development, the World Bank carries out investment policy reviews.

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD):

Investment Policy evaluates: To help its member nations improve their investment climates, the OECD evaluates their investment policies.

Investment Principles: To provide a foundation for international investment agreements, the OECD has created a set of investment principles.

## **COMPARISON WITH OTHER LEGAL AREAS**

Global investment law and commercial arbitration:

Both international investment arbitration and commercial arbitration emphasize party autonomy, confidentiality in hearings, and similarities in procedures. However, unlike commercial arbitration, which is contract-based, investment arbitration derives from treaties, and its outcomes directly impact State sovereignty

Global investment law and public law:

International investment law overlaps with public law in aspects of protection and security as well as non-discriminations. Despite some similarities, they differ notably in their dispute resolution mechanisms; treating IIL as public law risks overlooking its unique evolutionary concepts

Global investment law and international law and WTO law:

Scholars have made parallels between the international investment law regime and WTO law, emphasizing both as parts of public international law with systematic dispute resolution

mechanisms. Both legal frameworks discourage protectionism, sharing principles such as Most Favoured Nation and National Treatment, though recent developments indicate a divergence in jurisprudences. Awards from specific cases reveal differences in handling national treatment between IIL and WTO law.

## **CHALLENGES IN TRANSNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW**

International Investment Law is highly fragmented, spread across approximately 3,000 treaties without a comprehensive global agreement, making it difficult to establish consistent regulations.

Unlike other areas of international economic law, there is no multilateral investment agreement that sets both substantive and procedural rules for a large number of states.

Due to the absence of a single authoritative court, different investment tribunals interpret treaty provisions independently, leading to inconsistencies in case law.

The lack of appellate courts or extensive review processes for arbitration decisions can lead to challenges in ensuring fairness and consistency in rulings.

The investment treaty arbitration system functions independently from domestic legal systems, potentially creating conflicts between national and international regulations.

There's an ongoing challenge in finding the right balance between protecting foreign investors' rights and preserving states' regulatory autonomy.

The system, which originated in a post-colonial context, faces challenges in adapting to the changing dynamics of the global economy and the emergence of new economic powers.

## **GLOBAL INVESTMENT LAW AS HIGHLY CONTESTED SYSTEM**

Due to the many interests it seeks to balance between host governments, foreign investors, and other stakeholders including local people and civil society organisations, global investment law is a complicated and frequently contentious system. Despite being designed to encourage international investment and guarantee investors' legal safeguards, this body of law has been the subject of intense discussion and criticism regarding its fairness, openness, and effect on state sovereignty.

These are the primary areas of contention.

### **Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) Mechanism:**

Overview of ISDS:

Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) is a mechanism allowing investors to sue sovereign states in a forum outside of domestic courts, established through International Investment Agreements (IIAs). Critics point out high damages, perceived bias, and lengthy processes.

ISDS represents a significant divergence from traditional international law, where disputes usually occur between states, instead focusing on conflicts between private investors and host states.

#### Characteristics of ISDS:

The cornerstone of ISDS is the offer to arbitrate, granted in IIAs, which binds states and places them on the defensive, as these agreements are designed for investors' benefits.

Proponents of ISDS argue that it provides a neutral forum, thereby protecting foreign investors from potentially biased domestic courts.

#### Criticism of ISDS:

Critics argue that ISDS creates reverse discrimination by providing more rights to foreign investors than domestic ones, raising questions about fairness, especially in countries with robust legal systems.

Investment treaty arbitration offers autonomy to investors, presenting an alternative dispute resolution mechanism, which can lead to opportunistic behaviours like forum shopping.

#### **Regulatory Chilling Effect**

Another contentious issue in global investment law is the so-called "regulatory chilling effect." This term refers to the phenomenon where states might be deterred from implementing legitimate regulatory measures due to the fear of facing costly ISDS claims. For instance, if a country wants to introduce stricter environmental regulations, it may reconsider such policies to avoid potential litigation from foreign investors whose profits might be affected.

This chilling effect raises important questions about the balance between protecting investors and preserving state autonomy to regulate in the public interest. Critics assert that the fear of litigation undermines democratic processes and prioritizes corporate interests over public well-being. Conversely, supporters argue that clear and predictable investment rules can coexist with strong regulatory frameworks, provided that agreements are carefully drafted to reflect a balanced approach.

#### **Fragmentation of International Investment Law:**

The fragmentation of international investment law adds another layer of complexity to this contentious field. Unlike other areas of international law that are governed by comprehensive

multilateral treaties, global investment law comprises a network of over 3,000 bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and investment chapters in free trade agreements (FTAs). This fragmented legal landscape results in a lack of uniformity and coherence, making it challenging for states and investors to navigate the intricate web of treaty obligations.

The proliferation of BITs and FTAs has led to calls for a multilateral investment framework that can harmonize international investment rules and provide a more consistent legal regime. However, achieving such multilateral consensus is difficult due to divergent interests among states and the influence of powerful economic actors

### **Sovereignty vs. Investor Protections:**

**Sovereignty Concerns:** According to critics, international investment law puts foreign investors' interests ahead of nations' authority to control their economy and safeguard the general welfare. Governments may be sued, for instance, if they implement regulations that are perceived to harm investor profits, even if those laws are intended to safeguard the environment or the health of people.

**Unequal Power Dynamics:** Rich multinational firms with more resources and legal know-how frequently put developing nations at a disadvantage when it comes to legal challenges.

### **Power Imbalances:**

**Developed and developing nations:** Because wealthy nations have greater resources and negotiating leverage in treaty negotiations and dispute settlement, the system frequently favours their investors. This may lead to unequal advantages and disadvantages, with emerging nations suffering the most.

**Access to Justice:** States that are smaller or less economically strong may find it difficult to pay for the legal fees involved in defending ISDS claims, which would level the playing field.

### **Ambiguous Legal Standards:**

Broad and ambiguous wording like "fair and equitable treatment" and "indirect expropriation," which offer arbitral tribunals a great deal of authority, are used in many investment treaties. States find it challenging to forecast how their acts would be viewed as a result of the resulting inconsistent interpretations and legal ambiguity.

**Unpredictable Results:** Inconsistent decisions are produced by arbitral courts' broad interpretation of treaties. The outcomes of similar instances can range greatly, giving the impression that the resolution of investment disputes is unpredictable and unfair.

**Impact on Sustainable Development:**

Impact on the Environment and Society: Critics contend that a large number of international investment treaties and legislation prioritise investor protection over more general sustainable development objectives. For instance, under international investment law, measures to protect indigenous rights or slow down climate change may be contested as unjust.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): There is increasing demand on international investment law to incorporate clauses that make investors responsible for their deeds, particularly in relation to corruption, environmental damage, and human rights abuses. To guarantee CSR, current legislation frequently lacks effective enforcement measures.

**Challenges in Reforming the System:**

Slow Reform Progress: Several recommendations for reforming the ISDS system have been made, such as calls for more open arbitration procedures or a permanent investment court. However, those states that profit from the current system, foreign firms, and investors frequently oppose these reforms.

**Exit from Investment treaties:**

Some nations have taken drastic measures by renegotiating accords that they feel unduly restrict their sovereignty and leaving BITs. For instance, a number of investment treaties have been terminated or renegotiated by countries such as South Africa, India, and Indonesia, and other countries have considered similar measures.

**Costs of Arbitration:**

Expensive and Prolonged Disputes: Investment arbitration can be very expensive, with arbitration and legal fees frequently totalling millions of dollars. These expenses can put a strain on national budgets and take funds away from essential public services, especially in developing nations.

High Compensation Awards: When states lose, they frequently have to compensate investors with hefty sums of money. Large sums of money may be awarded, placing a heavy financial burden on host nations, especially developing nations.

**Lack of Transparency and Accountability:**

Transparency and consistency in arbitral proceedings are also major points of contention in global investment law. Critics highlight that many ISDS cases are conducted behind closed



doors with limited public access to information. This lack of transparency fuels concerns about accountability and reinforces perceptions that ISDS favours powerful multinational corporations.

Moreover, the inconsistency in arbitral awards further complicates the issue. Different tribunals may interpret similar treaty provisions in divergent ways, leading to unpredictability and uncertainty in the application of investment law. Such inconsistency can undermine the credibility of the international investment system and create an unpredictable legal environment for both investors and states.

### **Economic and Social Impacts:**

**Negative Impacts on Development:** While investors may utilise ISDS to safeguard their short-term interests, others contend that it can impede poor nations' attempts to implement sustainable development policies.

**Costs and risks:** Foreign investment may be deterred by ISDS costs and hazards, especially in industries with significant regulatory uncertainties.

### **Legitimacy and Effectiveness:**

**Questionable Legitimacy:** The legitimacy of ISDS has been questioned, particularly in the absence of democratic accountability and oversight.

**Effectiveness:** Some argue that ISDS may not be an effective tool for promoting investment, as it can create uncertainty and deter investors.

### **Sustainable Development and Environmental Concerns:**

The conflict between sustainable development objectives and investor rights is another cause of stress. For instance, laws enacted by a government to combat climate change or safeguard natural resources may have a detrimental impact on foreign investments and give rise to claims under investment treaties.

Critics contend that in order for foreign investment to support sustainable development rather than impede it, investment law must include more robust environmental and social protections.

### **Vagueness in Fair and Equitable Treatment (FET) Standard:**

Many investment agreements contain a vague FET language that might be interpreted broadly. In disputes, investors frequently use this test to claim that changes in government policy (such as higher taxes or nationalisation) go against their reasonable expectations.

This ambiguity has resulted in inconsistent arbitration rulings, which has fuelled discussions regarding the proper interpretation and use of FET.

## **POTENTIAL REFORMS TO GLOBAL INVESTMENT LAW**

**Greater Accountability and Transparency:**

**Public Hearings:** To boost public scrutiny and accountability, public hearings or more open dispute resolution procedures should be promoted.

**Publication of Awards:** To increase transparency, awards and rulings in ISDS cases should be made publicly accessible.

**Establishment of Ethics rules:** To guarantee impartiality and fairness, arbitrators and other parties concerned must adhere to ethical rules.

**Mechanisms for Balanced Dispute Resolution:**

**Investor-State Mediation:** Endorsing mediation as a more effective and friendly alternative to arbitration for resolving conflicts.

The establishment of multilateral investment courts with more accountable and transparent processes is a goal. **Domestic Courts:** States are urged to use their domestic legal systems to settle investment issues whenever feasible.

**Examining the Public Interest and Sustainable Development:**

**Public Interest Aspects:** Including public interest aspects in investment contracts and conflict settlement procedures.

**Environmental and Social criteria:** Encouraging investment agreements to incorporate environmental and social criteria in order to guarantee sustainable growth.

**Regulatory Autonomy:** Upholding states' regulatory independence, especially when it comes to matters pertaining to the environment, public health, and safety.

**Improved Governance and Oversight:**

**International Investment Court:** Creating a more democratic and accountable international investment court.

**Review Procedures:** Putting review procedures in place to guarantee that ISDS awards adhere to public policy and international law.

**Different Strategies for Protecting Investments:**

**Revision of current Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs)** to solve issues with investor protection and sovereignty.

**Creating new multilateral investment agreements (MIAs)** with more sustainable and balanced tenets.

Regional Investment Agreements: Increasing the strength of regional investment agreements while emphasising cooperation and development.

## CONCLUSION

Because of its fragmented structure, variety of agreements, and varying interpretations, global investment law is still a hotly debated topic. Although it offers an impartial platform for settling conflicts, the Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) process is criticised for what is seen as a lack of accountability, transparency, and possible investor bias. These problems frequently result in disputes between defending the rights of investors and preserving public interests like public health and the environment.

The system is made more complex by power disparities between industrialised and developing nations, which result in unequal advantages and liabilities. Both host nations and investors face increased legal ambiguity and unpredictability due to the broad and frequently ambiguous interpretations of fundamental concepts like expropriation and fair and equitable treatment (FET).

Reforms to establish a more equitable, open, and sustainable framework for investment law are being called for in response to these issues. The proposed reforms include integrating investor duties, creating a multilateral investment court, improving transparency in ISDS procedures, and coordinating investment treaties with sustainable development objectives.

In order to promote a just and equitable international investment environment that supports economic growth as well as the more general objectives of sustainable development, it is imperative that these controversial issues be addressed. A more equitable and efficient framework for foreign investments can be ensured by enacting broad and deliberate reforms that better serve the interests of all parties involved.

## References

- World Trade Organization.* *WTO.* (n.d.).  
<https://www.wto.org/english/thewtoe/whatise/inbriefe/inbre.htm>
- Cotula, L., & Berger, T. (2020). 'Blue economy': why we should talk about investment law. International Institute for Environment and Development.*

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep25168>

*“International Investment Law.” Transnational Matters, May 23, 2024.*

<https://www.transnationalmatters.com/international-investment-law/>.

Alschner, W. (2018). *THE GLOBAL LABORATORY OF INVESTMENT LAW REFORM ALTERNATIVES*. *AJIL Unbound*, 112, 237–243. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27003843>

Hewko, J. (2002). *FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT: Does the Rule of Law Matter?* *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace*. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep12728>

*Bolivia: Foreign Investment Law*. (1966). *International Legal Materials*, 5(2), 208–217. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20690034>

